SCRIPT

	action	Narrating voice
Intro	Two performers stand in the	The handshake is the act of two people holding and shaking each
– scene 1	middle of the studio facing	other's hands.
	each other, while re-enacting	An ordinary gesture we reiterate regularly, often without even
	a sequence of gestures in	paying attention to it, the handshake has become an ingrained
	loop:	habit and the most common form of greeting.
	a step towards the other	How many hands have we shaken? Do we remember them? Was
	person; they raise their right	the grip firm? Or limp? Or damp? Or maybe we don't even recall it
	arm; They grasp each other	because we behaved mechanically.
	hands; they shake hands; they	There is, indeed, an automatism in the handshake. It is a
	loosen the grip; they take a	performative act driven by social expectations. Who are the two
	step backwards.	people shaking hands? Where is the event taking place?
	A Steadicam goes around	It is, ultimately, a sequence of gestures which shapes a
	them, zooming in and out on	choreography re-enacted over and over again. The arm raised, the
	the gestures and offering	hands grasping each other, a brief up and down movement. The
	different viewpoints on the	arm raised, the hands grasping each other, a brief up and down
	handshake.	movement.
Documentation-	Showcase of several journalist	If, on a personal scale, the handshake might be seen as an
H. in political	footages depicting politicians	insignificant routine gesture, when it involves politicians, it
communication	shaking hands.	acquires special values. Because of their status, politicians are
– scene2	Maybe projecting the videos	representative of a specific community, so the handshake is no
	on a greenscreen panel?	longer something inter-personal, but it becomes intercommunal. In
	(green screen gringo's style)	this case, the event of shaking hands has to be meticulously
		planned and it must comply with precise codes. During this
		performance, politicians use body language as a vehicle to portray
Metatheatrical H.	The two performers enter the	themselves in the public eye.
	The two performers enter the	The ceremony of greetings is an essential part of the political protocol. It takes place publicly, in which case both parties may not
– scene 3	scene walking towards each other. They start to shake	even look at each other, but directly at the cameras. This is the
	hands and slowly they rotate	most fictional version of the greeting. The handshake becomes the
	their heads looking at the	real subject of the event. It can be seen as a meta-theatrical
	camera.	performance where the fourth wall is broken, and the two
	Fixed camera.	performers are directly addressing the audience.
Re-enactments	The two performers re-enact	The power of the handshake is so evocative that politicians must
– scene 4	The two periorines is endet	
Jeene 1	the greeting between Trump	·
	the greeting between Trump And Macron	take advantage of the moment of greeting. For many politicians,
	the greeting between Trump And Macron	take advantage of the moment of greeting. For many politicians, the handshake is a moment to assert their dominance. We can
		take advantage of the moment of greeting. For many politicians,
		take advantage of the moment of greeting. For many politicians, the handshake is a moment to assert their dominance. We can think of the handshake as a dialectical and mutating relationship
		take advantage of the moment of greeting. For many politicians, the handshake is a moment to assert their dominance. We can think of the handshake as a dialectical and mutating relationship with two conflicting theses, since there often is a supposed equal
		take advantage of the moment of greeting. For many politicians, the handshake is a moment to assert their dominance. We can think of the handshake as a dialectical and mutating relationship with two conflicting theses, since there often is a supposed equal intention to prevail on the counterpart. The two players have to re-
		take advantage of the moment of greeting. For many politicians, the handshake is a moment to assert their dominance. We can think of the handshake as a dialectical and mutating relationship with two conflicting theses, since there often is a supposed equal intention to prevail on the counterpart. The two players have to readjust their movements in real time, simultaneously intending to
		take advantage of the moment of greeting. For many politicians, the handshake is a moment to assert their dominance. We can think of the handshake as a dialectical and mutating relationship with two conflicting theses, since there often is a supposed equal intention to prevail on the counterpart. The two players have to readjust their movements in real time, simultaneously intending to convey their personal intentions while attempting to navigate their
		take advantage of the moment of greeting. For many politicians, the handshake is a moment to assert their dominance. We can think of the handshake as a dialectical and mutating relationship with two conflicting theses, since there often is a supposed equal intention to prevail on the counterpart. The two players have to readjust their movements in real time, simultaneously intending to convey their personal intentions while attempting to navigate their "gestural interlocutor." This often leads to an ungraceful and mute
		take advantage of the moment of greeting. For many politicians, the handshake is a moment to assert their dominance. We can think of the handshake as a dialectical and mutating relationship with two conflicting theses, since there often is a supposed equal intention to prevail on the counterpart. The two players have to readjust their movements in real time, simultaneously intending to convey their personal intentions while attempting to navigate their "gestural interlocutor." This often leads to an ungraceful and mute power-play, where the handshake appears to be unspontaneous,
Explanatory	And Macron Video performance with the	take advantage of the moment of greeting. For many politicians, the handshake is a moment to assert their dominance. We can think of the handshake as a dialectical and mutating relationship with two conflicting theses, since there often is a supposed equal intention to prevail on the counterpart. The two players have to readjust their movements in real time, simultaneously intending to convey their personal intentions while attempting to navigate their "gestural interlocutor." This often leads to an ungraceful and mute power-play, where the handshake appears to be unspontaneous, clumsy and embarrassing - for example, the handshake might last too long as neither party wants to loosen their grip. But what is this supposed set of codes that rules the handshake?
performance	Video performance with the AR filter, reviewing all the	take advantage of the moment of greeting. For many politicians, the handshake is a moment to assert their dominance. We can think of the handshake as a dialectical and mutating relationship with two conflicting theses, since there often is a supposed equal intention to prevail on the counterpart. The two players have to readjust their movements in real time, simultaneously intending to convey their personal intentions while attempting to navigate their "gestural interlocutor." This often leads to an ungraceful and mute power-play, where the handshake appears to be unspontaneous, clumsy and embarrassing - for example, the handshake might last too long as neither party wants to loosen their grip. But what is this supposed set of codes that rules the handshake? In 1887; the French coach and orator Delsarte theorised a
	Video performance with the AR filter, reviewing all the gestures and movements	take advantage of the moment of greeting. For many politicians, the handshake is a moment to assert their dominance. We can think of the handshake as a dialectical and mutating relationship with two conflicting theses, since there often is a supposed equal intention to prevail on the counterpart. The two players have to readjust their movements in real time, simultaneously intending to convey their personal intentions while attempting to navigate their "gestural interlocutor." This often leads to an ungraceful and mute power-play, where the handshake appears to be unspontaneous, clumsy and embarrassing - for example, the handshake might last too long as neither party wants to loosen their grip. But what is this supposed set of codes that rules the handshake? In 1887; the French coach and orator Delsarte theorised a diagrammatic cubic structure as a model to investigate
performance	Video performance with the AR filter, reviewing all the gestures and movements mentioned in the narration.	take advantage of the moment of greeting. For many politicians, the handshake is a moment to assert their dominance. We can think of the handshake as a dialectical and mutating relationship with two conflicting theses, since there often is a supposed equal intention to prevail on the counterpart. The two players have to readjust their movements in real time, simultaneously intending to convey their personal intentions while attempting to navigate their "gestural interlocutor." This often leads to an ungraceful and mute power-play, where the handshake appears to be unspontaneous, clumsy and embarrassing - for example, the handshake might last too long as neither party wants to loosen their grip. But what is this supposed set of codes that rules the handshake? In 1887; the French coach and orator Delsarte theorised a diagrammatic cubic structure as a model to investigate gesticulation. An imaginary cube stands in front of each orator and,
performance	Video performance with the AR filter, reviewing all the gestures and movements mentioned in the narration. Series of fixed cameras	take advantage of the moment of greeting. For many politicians, the handshake is a moment to assert their dominance. We can think of the handshake as a dialectical and mutating relationship with two conflicting theses, since there often is a supposed equal intention to prevail on the counterpart. The two players have to readjust their movements in real time, simultaneously intending to convey their personal intentions while attempting to navigate their "gestural interlocutor." This often leads to an ungraceful and mute power-play, where the handshake appears to be unspontaneous, clumsy and embarrassing - for example, the handshake might last too long as neither party wants to loosen their grip. But what is this supposed set of codes that rules the handshake? In 1887; the French coach and orator Delsarte theorised a diagrammatic cubic structure as a model to investigate gesticulation. An imaginary cube stands in front of each orator and, according to how the person grabs it from its different faces,
performance	Video performance with the AR filter, reviewing all the gestures and movements mentioned in the narration.	take advantage of the moment of greeting. For many politicians, the handshake is a moment to assert their dominance. We can think of the handshake as a dialectical and mutating relationship with two conflicting theses, since there often is a supposed equal intention to prevail on the counterpart. The two players have to readjust their movements in real time, simultaneously intending to convey their personal intentions while attempting to navigate their "gestural interlocutor." This often leads to an ungraceful and mute power-play, where the handshake appears to be unspontaneous, clumsy and embarrassing - for example, the handshake might last too long as neither party wants to loosen their grip. But what is this supposed set of codes that rules the handshake? In 1887; the French coach and orator Delsarte theorised a diagrammatic cubic structure as a model to investigate gesticulation. An imaginary cube stands in front of each orator and,

movements from different perspectives

Most people shake hands with their right hand. The right arm is raised in the middle of the imaginary cube with the fingertips pointing ahead. The angle that the palm traces with the horizontal axis of the cube is the most important variable to determine one's intention. The palm perpendicular to the ground is the neutral form of handshake. It is professional and respectful. After grasping the other person's hand, it usually follows a brief up-and downmovement along the vertical axis.

Regarding the frontal axis, two possible handshakes can be identified:

- 1) The close handshake, when the hand is close to the bust, aligned with the face of the cube nearest to the body.
- 2) The far handshake, when the arm is fully extended, and the hand is aligned with the face of the cube furthest away from the body.

This distinction has to do with the laws of proxemics, which is the study of the human use of space while interacting and communicating.

The close handshake reflects the intimate space; it conveys either inclusion or possession.

The far handshake sets a boundary between the social space and the personal space. It is a more detached way to shake hands and has the purpose to limit and to stop.

The perpendicular handshake's neutrality can be shifted by rotating the wrist. If the angle between the palm and the horizontal axis is acute, then the intention is to prevail on the other person. The smaller the angle, the stronger is the will to appear dominant. So, an angle of zero and a palm fully facing downwards is the most authoritative handshake.

On the contrary, if the angle between the palm and the horizontal axis is obtuse, then the approach is deferential, and it is considered a submissive handshake.

The palm facing downwards conveys control and supremacy whereas the palm facing upwards communicates support. Another case where the power dynamics of the handshake can be defined by a dominant-submissive relationship involves the ascending or descending ideal line which connects the elbow to the hand. If the direction is top-down, drawing a diagonal line in the lateral cube's face, the gesture manifests dominance. If the direction is bottom-up, the resulting message is subordination. This differentiation mostly depends on the height and position of the two people shaking hands.

Finally, in the ritual of the handshake, a relevant role might be played by the left hand as well. The latter can eventually double clasp the handshake, overpowering the other person's hand, or it can also be placed and pressed on the other person's upper arm or shoulder. The sequence of these three options is a progressive climax, where touching the shoulder represents the most intimate, but also most assertive way of interacting.